

IRWIN M. ZALKIN, ESQ. (#89957)
DEVIN M. STOREY, ESQ. (#234271)
ALEXANDER S. ZALKIN, ESQ. (#280813)
RYAN M. COHEN, ESQ. (#261313)
The Zalkin Law Firm, P.C.
12555 High Bluff Drive, Suite 301
San Diego, CA 92130
Tel: 858-259-3011
Fax: 858-259-3015
Email: Irwin@zalkin.com
dms@zalkin.com
alex@zalkin.com
ryan@zalkin.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

SOFIE KARASEK, individually;
NICOLETTA COMMINS, individually;
ARYLE BUTLER, individually;

Plaintiffs,

vs.

THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY
OF CALIFORNIA, a public entity, and
DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

) Case No: 3:15-cv-03717-WHO

) **STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO**
) **RESPOND TO DEFENDANT'S RULE**
) **12(B)(6) MOTION TO DISMISS;**
) **ORDER**

) Place: Courtroom 2, 17th Floor
) Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT'S RULE 12(B)(6)

MOTION TO DISMISS

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, the parties to the above entitled action, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their Third Amended Complaint ("TAC") in this Court on April 11, 2016;

WHEREAS, Defendant filed a Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint ("Motion to Dismiss") on May 9, 2016;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is due on May 23, 2016;

WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed that Plaintiffs may have an extension of 7 days to respond to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, which would make Plaintiffs' response due on Tuesday, May 31, 2016;

WHEREAS, currently, Defendant's reply to Plaintiffs' response is due on May 31, 2016;

WHEREAS, Defendant's new deadline to reply to Plaintiffs' response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss would be June 7, 2016;

WHEREAS, currently, the hearing date on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is scheduled for June 15, 2016;

WHEREAS, if, given the new briefing schedule, the original hearing date is not convenient for the Court, the Court will move the hearing date to _____; and

WHEREAS, this stipulation will not alter any other date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED between Plaintiffs and Defendant, by and through their undersigned attorneys, that Plaintiff shall have a 7-day extension to respond to Defendant's Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint, making Plaintiffs' response due on or before May 31, 2016.

1 DATED: May 18, 2016

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
BRADLEY S. PHILLIPS
HAILYN J. CHEN

2
3 By: /s/ Bradley S. Phillips
BRADLEY S. PHILLIPS
Attorneys for Defendant

4
5 DATED: May 18, 2016

THE ZALKIN LAW FIRM, P.C.
IRWIN M. ZALKIN
DEVIN M. STOREY
ALEXANDER S. ZALKIN
RYAN M. COHEN

6
7
8 By: /s/ Alexander S. Zalkin
Alexander S. Zalkin
Attorneys for Plaintiffs


9
10
11 **ORDER**

12 Plaintiffs' new response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is due on Tuesday, May 31,
13 2016;

14 Defendant's new deadline to reply to Plaintiffs' response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss
15 is due on June 7, 2016;

16 The hearing date on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss remains June 15, 2016.

17
18 Dated: May 24, 2016


Hon. William H. Orrick
Judge of the United States District Court